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Summary

The growing global demand for rare metals and the declining land mineral resources impel the research on exploring seabed minerals resources. Based on this requirement, some research projects about deep sea mining are ongoing. Among them, this thesis focuses on one case, which is within the Norwegian interest zone along the North-East part of the Mid-Atlantic Ridge outside Svalbard, the water depth is in excess of 3000 m.

For deep sea mining in the North Atlantic at a water depth of over 3,000 meters, there are significant challenges with regard to operating the riser lift system needed to transport the minerals from the seabed to the sea surface. The objective of this master thesis is to explore the limitations of operating such a riser system at large water depths with focus on the dynamic behaviour of the system. In addition, based on the dynamic response and the limiting criteria, perform the fatigue analysis to find the fatigue life of the riser lift system. Then, comparing the difference of the dynamic behavior and fatigue life of the riser lift system under the two topside connection conditions.

The riser lift system may be in the form of a subsea pump and a vertical riser transporting slurry flow (water and rock). A case study was performed for representative sea states with regard to two working conditions by the Riflex module of SIMA. The top of the riser was connected to a vessel, and the end of the riser was connected to a pump. The length of the riser was 2970m, and the water depth was 3000m. Two working conditions were studied: The operating condition where the top of the riser was connected to the vessel by a pin joint. For the installation condition, the top of the riser was completely fixed to the vessel. The external load of the riser lift system is just considered the Morison load, which contains the current load, the wave load and the resulting vessel motion. The scatter diagram of the northern North Sea was applied as basis for this case.

The results of this study indicated that the topside connection of the riser has a big impact on the riser. The bending moment and stress of the riser with fixed topside connection is much larger than it with pinned topside connection. Moreover, all the dynamic response of riser is increasing as the growing of the wave significant height. The limiting sea states of the riser with pinned topside connection is when the wave significant height is larger than 7m. And to the fixed topside connection is when the wave significant height is larger than 2m. In addition, in this case, the fatigue life of the riser is too short whatever the topside connection is. In general, it is difficult to apply a steel riser under these conditions, even if the vortex induced vibration is not considered in this study.
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\( M_d \)  Design bending moment
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1. Introduction

1.1. Motivation

With the growing global demand for strategic rare metals, the prices are rising. Even if the deep sea mining is still controversial due to the environment problem, there are ongoing efforts would wish to explore sea bed minerals as the land resources are gradually declining. As such, deep sea mining is an emerging industry and several research projects are ongoing. Within the Norwegian interest zone along the North-East part of the Mid-Atlantic Ridge outside Svalbard, the water depth is in excess of 3000 m. Around this area, ocean water penetrates several kilometres down towards the centre of the Earth where the crust is fractured. Liquid magma heats the water to about 400 degree centigrade before the water squirts back out again as an underwater geyser. The ocean water draws minerals and metals out of the Earth’s crust and carries these back up to the seabed. Gold, silver, copper, cobalt, zinc, and lead are all deposited when the hot springs meet the cold ocean water[1]. Therefore, researchers are investigating the feasibility of deep sea mining in this area.

1.2. Objective

For deep sea mining in the North Atlantic at a water depth of over 3,000 meters, there are significant challenges with regard to operating the riser lift system needed to transport the minerals from the seabed to the sea surface. The riser lift system may be in the form of a subsea pump and a vertical riser transporting slurry flow (water and rock). A case study was performed for representative sea states with regard to two working conditions by the Riflex module of SIMA. The aim of this master thesis is to explore the limitations of operating such a riser system at large water depths with focus on the dynamic behaviour of the system. In addition, based on the dynamic response and the limiting criteria, perform the fatigue analysis to find the fatigue life of the riser lift system. Then, comparing the difference of the dynamic behavior and fatigue life of the riser lift system under the two topside connection conditions.

1.3. Scope and limitation

The scope of the thesis is narrowed by only applying one scatter diagram of the northern North Sea as the representative sea states for operating the riser system. Moreover, the riser lift system model was simplified by ignoring the booster stations along the riser and the flexible jumper which connects the pump to the seabed. The external load of the riser lift system is just considered the Morison load, which
contains the current load, the wave load and the resulting vessel motion. However the vortex induced vibration is not included in this thesis.

1.4. Chapter overview

Chapter 2: Literature review covers the literature study, providing the existed research results and related knowledge of ocean mining. Chapter 3: DNV GL rules and regulations gives the related DNV rules and standards which needed to be referred to in this thesis. Chapter 4: Non-linear time domain analysis presents a concise theoretical introduction for the non-linear time domain analysis method. Chapter 5: Modelling by SIMA describes the detailed modelling procedure of riser system and lists the total used parameters. Chapter 6 and Chapter 7 lists the results of pinned topside connection analysis and fixed topside connection analysis, respectively. The comparison of the two topside connection analysis and the limiting sea states for operating the riser system are shown in Chapter 8. Finally, the conclusion and suggestion for the further work are discussed in Chapter 9.
2. Literature review

2.1. Vertical steel riser behavior

Recently, the application of the riser in the drilling and mining field has gradually shifted from the shallow sea to the deep sea. At the same time, related research and analysis have also undergone changes. However, because these studies were conducted in recent years, few articles and data are available for reference and comparison. Fortunately, there are some research papers about the dynamic and fatigue analysis of the riser. Even if most of the research is about theoretical methods and takes the example of the risers applied in the shallow water and ordinary sea states. The focus of the dynamic and fatigue analysis of the riser and related considerations are very worthy of reference.

Burke (1974)[2] presents one mathematical model which can be used into the analysis of the riser, and tries to show the consequence of applying the riser into deeper water. The analysis model used in Burke (1974) is based on the linear differential equation applied to the beam column under the lateral loads in the vertical plane. Moreover, the linear differential equation can be solved by the numerical integration method, by specifying the force distribution along the length and implementing the boundary conditions at each end. In the paper, the top of the riser is connected to the vessel, static analysis is performed under the horizontal displacement at the top of the riser caused by the vessel motion and the lateral load caused by the ocean currents. And the dynamic analysis is performed under the vessel motions and sinusoidal wave forces. During this case, the riser is analyzed for water depths of 120m to 600m.

The static results of Burke (1974) shows that the tension stresses of the unbuoyed riser increases significantly as the water depth increases. As a consequent, additional buoyancy is considered added to the longer marine risers to reduce the submerged riser weight. According to this, the tension requirements to support the riser can be decreased. However, the top bending stress of the buoyed riser is much greater than that of the unbuoyed riser. This is due to the top tension of the buoyed riser is lower, and the riser diameter used to compute the drag force is increased while adding buoyant material. Therefore, considering both of the tension stress and bending stress, how to apply the buoyant is very important. And it will also be focused on in this thesis.

The dynamic results of Burke (1974) shows that the response of the riser to larger waves results in greater speed and higher damping, which in turn reduces the resonant response of the riser. Therefore, it is found that when the riser responds to waves, especially when the period of the wave is close to the natural vibration period
of the riser, the response result is a strong nonlinear function of the wave height. Moreover, compared to the wave forces acting directly on the riser, the vessel motion caused by the waves is a more significant factor for the dynamic response of the riser. Therefore, the motion characteristics of vessels which are connected to risers are also a main factor in the design of the riser and defining the limitation in terms of the sea states.

In conclusion, the static and the dynamic response of the riser are both significant factors to be considered when the water depth is increasing. In addition to the motion characteristics of the vessel.

There is no discussion on the fatigue analysis of the riser in this paper. Fortunately, it can be found in Chen et al. (2012)[3].

The two ends of riser model in Chen et al. (2012) were constrained. In details, the top end of the riser was pinned to the drilling vessel and the bottom end of the riser was below the seabed. The water depth in this case was around 100m, corresponding to a shallow water environment condition. The fatigue model in this paper was based on application of the Rain-flow counting method, S-N curve and Miner-Palmgren rule. The results show the fatigue damage of the bottom of the riser decreased when the soil stiffness of seabed was increased; increasing the thickness of the riser can inhibit fatigue damage effectively; while as increasing the weld eccentricity of riser can significantly increase fatigue damage. Although the influencing factors of the fatigue damage in this paper are different from the thesis, the method of fatigue analysis include similarities.

Mukundan et al. (2009)[4] shows that fatigue damage will also be affected by the vortex induced vibration. The reason is that the long flexible cylinders (e.g. risers) exposed to the marine environment encounter ocean currents leading to vortex induced vibration (VIV). These oscillations, often driven at high frequencies over extended periods of time may result in structural failure of the member due to fatigue damage accumulation.

However, in this study, the main point is to find the effect by the different wave conditions on the riser dynamic response, rather than the vortex induced vibration caused by the ocean current. Therefore, the Morison’s load, which contains the current load, the wave load and the resulting vessel motion, is applied on the riser lift system. The vortex induced vibration will not be considered in the following analysis procedure.
2.2. Ocean mining

Most of existing vertical steel riser cases are related to offshore oil drilling, but in recent years, the ever-increasing demand of the world for rare metals continues to drive the development of deep sea mining. Therefore, this thesis focuses on the deep sea mining within the Norwegian interest zone along the North-East part of the Mid-Atlantic Ridge outside Svalbard, where the water depth is in excess of 3000 m. The related knowledge of mining is shown in this section.

2.2.1. Mining minerals

The traditional interests of minerals are in Ni-Cu-Mn for nodules, Co-Ni-Mn for crusts, and Cu-Zn-Au-Ag for seafloor massive sulfides (SMS). In addition, research shows that there are additional precise and rare-earth elements (REEs) as potential bi-products of major metal minerals. For instance, nodules also have high concentrations of Co, Li, Mo, REEs, Y, and Zr in addition to the main metals of interest; crusts have significant concentrations of Bi, Mo, Nb, Pt, REEs, Te, Th, Ti, W, Y, and Zr; and sulfides in some environments, especially volcanic arcs, may have high concentrations of As, Cd, Ga, Ge, In, Sb, and/or Se [5].

Fe-Mn Crusts

Fe-Mn crusts precipitates from the bottom waters of the cold environment (hydrological geology) to the surface of seamounts, ridges, and plateaus as pavements and coatings on rocks in the areas that are kept sediment-free for millions of years. Crusts are usually found at water depths of 400-7000 m, as well as the thickest and most metal-rich crusts occurring at depths of about 800-2500 m. The distribution of crusts and characteristics of sea mounts indicate that mining operations may be performed at water depths from about 1500 to 2500 m[5].

Fe-Mn nodules

Fe-Mn nodules can be found throughout the global ocean, predominantly on the surface of sediment-covered abyssal plains at water depths of around 3500 to 6500 m. Some nodules are partly buried in the sediment and others are completely buried. The most wide-ranging deposits have been found in the Pacific Ocean, especially between the Clarion and Clipperton Fracture Zones (CCZ), the Peru Basin, and Penrhyn-Samoa Basins[5].

Seafloor massive sulfide (SMS)

Seafloor Massive Sulfide (SMS), sediments are areas of hard substratum with high base metal and sulfide content which are formed by the hydrothermal circulation
and are frequently found at hydrothermal vent sites. It has high base metal content, as well as commercially exploitable concentrations of gold and silver[6]. The most of the deposits of SMS are at water depths of approximately 1400 to 4000m[6]. Moreover, up to 40% of the known deposits occur at shallower depths ≤ 2km in back-arc basins and on submarine volcanic ridges within 200 nautical miles of the coast and within the jurisdiction of national exclusive economic zones (EEZs)[7].

Based on the follow details, the seafloor massive sulfides (SMS) are more potential to exploit around 3000m.

2.2.2. Mining system

Refer to the mining case of Solwara 1[7], which sites in the Manus Basin off Papua New Guinea is to crush the ore on the seabed. From Figure2-1, it can be found that the mining system mainly contains the mining support vessel, riser and lift system, pump and seafloor mining tool. In this thesis, the model was simplified into the top of riser was connected to the vessel and the end of the riser was connected to the pump. Therefore, the flexible jumper between the pump and the seafloor mining tool was not considered during the analysis.

![Figure 2-1. Schematic of SMS mining technology and plan for the Solwara 1 deposit off Papua New Guinea[7]](image)

Figure 2-1. Schematic of SMS mining technology and plan for the Solwara 1 deposit off Papua New Guinea[7]
2.2.3. Mining support vessel

In the Section 2.1, the importance of the motion characteristics of the vessel which is connected with the riser has been mentioned. Therefore, the selection of the mining support vessel is very significant.

Related paper reports that the Nautilus Company, will use the vessel as a floating base for its subsea mining operations at the Solwara-1 project located in the Bismarck Sea, offshore Papua New Guinea (PNG). It will extract copper, gold, silver and ore from the seabed at water depths of 1,600m. MAC will own and provide marine management services for the vessel[8]. The estimated parameters of the ship can be seen in Table 2-1. The model for the world’s first seabed mining vessel can be seen in Figure 2-2.

Table 2-1. The parameter of the example ship[8]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length overall</td>
<td>227m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breadth</td>
<td>40m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth</td>
<td>14m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft(full loaded)</td>
<td>8.5m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2-2. The model for the world’s first seabed mining vessel[8]
3. DNV GL rules and regulations

The relevant standards and rules related to riser design have been selected based on the framework of DNV GL must be met. The standards and rules are related to the loads and limit states of the riser.

3.1. DNV-OS-F201: Dynamic risers

DNV-OS-F201 (2010)\textsuperscript{[9]} provides criteria, requirements and guidance on structure design and analysis of riser systems exposed to static and dynamic loading for use in the offshore petroleum and natural gas industries. Even if this thesis is focused on the offshore mineral industries, the basic standards are totally sufficient to be referenced.

3.1.1. Loads

Before getting the response of the riser in the 3000m deep water during the different sea states, the first aim is to figure out the loads of the riser.

Section 3 in DNV-OS-F201 (2010) defines the loads to be considered in the design of riser systems. Loads and deformations are categorised into four groups as follows:

- Pressure loads;
- Functional loads;
- Environment loads;
- Accident loads.

Combined with the analysis requirements of the riser, the pressure loads, functional loads and environmental loads are essential. Accidental loads were not considered in this study. The definition of these loads are shown as follows.

**Pressure (P) loads** are loads that are strictly due to the combined effect of hydrostatic internal and external pressure. The internal pressure definitions apply at the surface (top) of the riser can be divided into design pressure and incidental pressure. Design pressure is the maximum surface pressure during normal operations. Moreover, incidental pressure is the surface pressure that is unlikely to be exceeded during the life of the riser.

**Functional (F) loads** are defined as loads that occur as consequence of the physical existence of the system and by operating and handling of the system, without environmental or accident load.
In this study, weight and buoyancy of riser, coating, buoyancy modules and pump; weight of internal minerals; applied tension for top-tension risers; installation induced residual loads or pre-stressing are relevant.

**Environment (E) loads** are loads imposed directly by the ocean environment. The principle environmental parameters are wave, currents and floater motions.

In this study, wave (different sea states, containing extreme condition); current; floater motions induced by waves and current are relevant.

### 3.1.2. Design criteria for riser pipes

Section 5 in DNV-OS-F201 (2010) provides the general framework for design of riser systems including provisions for checking of limit states for pipes in riser systems.

The limit states are grouped into the following four categories:

**Serviceability Limit State (SLS)** requires that the riser must be able to remain in service and operate properly. This limit state corresponds to criteria limiting or governing the normal operation (functional use) of the riser;

**Ultimate Limit State (ULS)** requires that the riser must remain intact and avoid rupture, but not necessary be able to operate. For operating condition this limit state corresponds to the maximum resistance to applied loads with 0.01 annual exceedence probability;

**Accidental Limit State (ALS)** is a ULS due to accidental loads (i.e. infrequent loads)

**Fatigue Limit State (FLS)** is an ultimate limit state from accumulated excessive fatigue crack growth or damage under cyclic loading.

This study attempts to find the limit sea states for the riser by the dynamic analysis. Then finding the life time of the riser as a result of the different sea states. Therefore, ultimate limit state and fatigue limit state is considered here.

The typical limit states of ULS for the riser system are as follows:

- **Bursting**: Membrane rupture of the pipe wall due to internal overpressure only.
- **Hoop buckling (collapse)**: Gross plastic deformation (crushing) and/or buckling (collapse) of the pipe cross section caused by external overpressure only.
- **Propagating buckling**: Propagating hoop buckling initiated by hoop buckling.
- **Gross plastic deformation, local buckling and hoop buckling**: Gross plastic deformation and hoop buckling of the pipe cross section and/or local buckling of the pipe wall due to the combined effect of external overpressure, effective
tension and bending moment.

- **Unstable fracture and gross plastic deformation**: Unstable crack growth or rest ligament rupture or cross section rupture of a cracked component.
- **Liquid tightness**: Leakage in the riser system including pipe and components.
- **Global buckling**: Overall column buckling (Euler buckling) due to axial compression (negative effective tension).

In this study, due to the overpressure is so small, it was neglected during the analysis.

### 3.1.3. Combined loading criteria of ULS

Section 5 in DNV-OS-F201 (2010) gives the combined loading criteria of ULS:

Pipe members subjected to bending moment, effective tension shall be designed to satisfy the following equation:

\[
\gamma_{sc} \gamma_m \left\{ \frac{|M_d|}{M_k} + \left( \frac{T_d}{T_k} \right)^2 \right\} \leq 1
\]

(3-1)

Where:

- \(M_d\) is the design bending moment;
- \(T_d\) is the design effective tension;
- \(M_k\) is the (plastic) bending moment resistance given by:
  \[
  M_k = f_y \alpha_c (D - t)^2 t
  \]
  (3-2)
- \(T_k\) is the plastic axial force resistance given by:
  \[
  T_k = f_y \alpha_c \pi (D - t) t
  \]
  (3-3)
- \(f_y\) is the yield strength to be used in design;
- \(\alpha_c\) is the flow stress parameter accounting for strain hardening;
- \(D\) is the riser outer diameter;
- \(t\) is the riser thickness;
- \(\gamma_{sc}\) is the safety class resistance factor, which shown in Table3-1;
- \(\gamma_m\) is the material resistance factor, which shown in Table3-2;
Table 3-1. The safety class resistance factor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Low</th>
<th>Normal</th>
<th>High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3-2. The material resistance factor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ULS &amp; ALS</th>
<th>SLS &amp; FLS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.2. DNV-OS-F204: Riser fatigue

DNV-OS-F204 (2010)[10] aims to outline the methodology for performing fatigue assessment of metallic risers subjected to cyclic loads.

The objective of fatigue design is to ensure that the risers have adequate fatigue life. Calculated fatigue lives also form the basis for efficient inspection programmes during fabrication and operational life of the risers.

There are two methods of fatigue assessment, one is using S-N curves, the other one is by crack propagation calculations. The method of using S-N curves is more well-developed and was therefore here.

When using the assessment methods based on S-N curves, the following steps shall be considered:

- assessment of short-term distribution of nominal stress range;
- selection of appropriate S-N curve;
- incorporate thickness correction factor;
- determination of stress concentration factor (SCF) not included in the S-N curve;
- determination of accumulated fatigue damage over all short term conditions.

### Standard design fatigue factors

The standard DFF is applicable to traditional riser concepts known to have adequate reliability. The standard DFF given in Table 3-4 is applicable for steel risers. For the traditional riser concepts, with fatigue limit being the governing criteria and when the calculated fatigue life is close to the target fatigue life, the application of standard DFF needs to be evaluated.
Table 3-3. Design fatigue factors DFF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Low</th>
<th>Normal</th>
<th>High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3. DNV-OS-C203: Fatigue design of offshore steel structures

DNV-OS-C203 (2011)[11] provides more details on the S-N curves and how to select the appropriate S-N curves.

The basic design S-N curve is given as:

\[ \log N = \log \overline{a} - m \log \Delta \sigma \]  (3-4)

Where:
- \( N \) is predicted number of cycles to failure of stress range \( \Delta \sigma \);
- \( \Delta \sigma \) is stress range;
- \( \log \overline{a} \) is intercept of log \( N \)-axis by S-N curve;
- \( m \) is negative inverse slope of S-N curve.

Based on the standards in DNV-OS-C203, the E curve was selected for the fatigue analysis of the riser. In the E curve, the negative inverse slope is 3.0, the intercept of log \( N \)-axis is 11.61. The reason for choosing E curve is as follow:

- **Transverse butt welds, welded from both side**: Transverse splices in plates, flats, rolled sections or plate girders made at site. The height of the weld convexity not to be greater than 20% of the weld width. Weld run-off pieces to be used and subsequently removed, plate edges to be ground flush in direction of stress.
- **Hollow sections**: Circumferential butt weld made from both sides made at site. The applied stress must include the stress concentration factor to allow for any thickness change and for fabrication tolerances.
- **Detailed relating to tubular members (continued)**: Parent material (of the stressed member) adjacent to the toes of a bevel butt or fillet welded attachments in region of stress concentration.
4. Non-linear time domain analysis

Non-linear analysis is needed when there is a non-linear relation between the force applied to the structure and the response. Non-linear effects can originate from geometrical non-linearity (i.e. large deformation), material non-linearity (i.e. elasto-plastic material), contact[12] and non-linear loads where the latter is most important in this study, represented by the quadratic drag force.

The SINTEF computer program SIMA[13] was used to simulate the structural response of riser in the various sea states. In SIMA, the non-linear static analysis method coupled to a time domain dynamic analysis procedure where all relevant non-linearities are included. This section gives a brief description of the method applied in SIMA.

4.1. Finite elements formulations

The structural analysis part of the RIFLEX program is based on finite element modelling where the structure is represented by a finite number of elements. Then the equations established for the finite elements are assembled into a large system of equations to simulate the whole structure.

In this case, beam elements were used. The beam element is formulated using the concept of co-rotated ghost reference as outlined in Fundamental Continuum Mechanics Theory[14]. As indicated in Figure 4-1, the beam has 3 translational and 3 rotational degrees of freedom at each node. They are defined in relation to the local x, y, z-system in the $C_0$ configuration[15].

![Figure 4-1. Nodal degrees of freedom for beam element[15]](image)
The incremental static equilibrium\[16\] equation can be defined as:

$$\Delta R = (K_m + K_o) \Delta r$$  \hspace{1cm} (4-1)

Where:
- $\Delta R$ is the element force vector;
- $K_m$ is the material stiffness matrix;
- $K_o$ is the initial stiffness matrix;
- $\Delta r$ is the element nodal displacement vector.

### 4.2. Load models

Based on the Section 3.1.1, for external loads, it is known that the static or quasi-static response results from the current loads, and the dynamic response due to the wave loads as well as the resulting vessel motion loads. These loads are presented in details as follows.

#### 4.2.1. Hydrodynamic loads

To calculate current or wave loads, an extended form of Morison’s equation has been used. Morison’s equation was originally formulated for calculating the wave loads on fixed vertical cylinders. There are two force components; one is related to water particle acceleration (the inertial force) and the other related to water particle velocity (the drag force)[17].

The extended form of Morison’s equation is:

$$F_w = (m \cdot a_w + C_A \cdot m \cdot a_r) + \frac{1}{2} \rho V_r |V_r| C_D A$$  \hspace{1cm} (4-2)

Where:
- $F_w$ is the fluid force;
- $m$ is the mass of fluid displaced by the body;
- $a_w$ is the fluid acceleration relative to earth;
- $C_A$ is the added mass coefficient for the body;
- $a_r$ is the fluid acceleration relative to the body;
- $\rho$ is the density of water;
- $V_r$ is the current velocity relative to the body;
- $C_D$ is the drag coefficient;
- $A$ is the drag area.

The term in parentheses is the inertia force, and the other is the drag force. In the riser analysis, $C_D$ varies between 0.7 and 1.2[17].
4.2.2. Wave kinematics

In this case, irregular wave time domain analysis was applied. Based on the linear wave theory, the wave kinematics is generated from a wave spectrum, either in terms of $S(\omega)$ being a function of the frequency alone for long-crested waves or in terms of $S(\omega, \theta)$ being a function of frequency and direction for short-crested waves[18].

In order to generate a time series representation of the sea state, the phases are randomly selected from a uniform distribution, with the amplitude of Fourier components fixed by a filtered power spectrum. The resulting wave elevation is then as a sum of linear waves and identified as the first order contribution in the perturbative expansion of $\eta[18]$.

The relationship between the $S(\omega)$ and $\eta$ can be expressed as:

$$S(\omega)d\omega = \frac{1}{2}\eta^2$$  \hspace{2cm} (4-3)

$$\eta = \sum \sqrt{2S(\omega)\Delta \omega \cos(\omega t + \theta)}$$  \hspace{2cm} (4-4)

And $\eta(t)$ is the wave elevation as a function of time. When $\eta(t)$ is defined, the kinematic velocities and accelerations along the riser are obtained using linear wave theory.

After defining the spectrum, the seed for generation of random phase angles must be specified. With different seed numbers, different realisations of the wave time series will be generated.

In this case, JONSWAP spectrum was selected from the irregular wave package of SIMA. The details of the JONSWAP spectrum[19] are shown below.

$$S_{\zeta}(\omega) = \frac{\alpha \omega^2}{\epsilon^2} \exp(-\beta(\frac{\omega_p}{\omega})^4) \gamma^{\frac{\omega_p^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$  \hspace{2cm} (4-5)

The wave spectrum contains the following parameters:

- $\omega_p$ is the peak frequency, $\omega_p = \frac{2\pi}{T_p}$, $T_p$ is the peak periods;
- $\alpha$ is the spectrum parameter;
- $\gamma$ is the peakedness parameter;
- $\beta$ is the form parameter, default value is 1.25;
\( \sigma \) is the spectral parameter with default values. \( \sigma = 0.07 \) if \( \omega \leq \omega_p \), \( \sigma = 0.09 \) if \( \omega \geq \omega_p \).

Significant wave height \( H_s \) is often used instead of \( \alpha \) to parameterize the spectrum:

\[
\alpha = \left( \frac{H_s \omega_p^2}{4g} \right)^2 \frac{1}{0.065 \gamma^{0.803} + 0.135} \tag{4-6}
\]

Another formula giving the same \( \alpha \) is:

\[
\alpha = 5.061 \frac{H_s^2}{T_p^4} (1 - 0.287 \ln(\gamma)) \tag{4-7}
\]

Eq. (4-6) and Eq. (4-7) have been implemented in the program as alternative to specifying \( \alpha \), \( \gamma \) may normally be taken as:

\[
\gamma = \exp \left[ 3.484 \left( 1 - 0.1975 \delta \frac{T_p^4}{H_s^2} \right) \right] \tag{4-8}
\]

\[
\delta = 0.036 - 0.0056 \frac{T_p}{\sqrt{H_s}}
\]

However, for a two parameter JONSWAP spectrum, the following limits on \( \gamma \) are valid:

\[
T_p \geq 5 \sqrt{H_s} \quad \gamma = 1.0
\]

\[
T_p \leq 3.6 \sqrt{H_s} \quad \gamma = 5.0
\]
4.2.3. Vessel motion

The top of the riser was attached to a vessel, using the response amplitude operator (RAO) including the first and second order motion of the vessel as the vessel loads on the riser. Generation of motion time series will be consistent with generated time series for wave-induced water particle velocities and accelerations. The rigid body motion responses consist of 6 degrees of freedom: surge, sway, heave, roll, pitch and yaw referred to the global (X Y Z) coordinate system. The motion model consists of a set of high-frequency (wave frequency) motions in all 6 degrees of freedom and a set of low-frequency motions in the 3 horizontal degrees of freedom: surge, sway and yaw. These two sets of motions are referred to as HF-motions and LF-motions, respectively. For most dynamic line problems it is sufficient to include only the HF-motions. The effects of typical LF-motions, with periods of 60-180 s, can often be covered by suitable selection of static (mean) position.

4.3. Analysis procedure

There are three steps in the analysis process. The first step is static analysis, and then the wave load is applied on the structure to proceed the dynamic analysis.

4.3.1. Static analysis

The aim of static analysis is to determine the initial static geometry of the riser configuration. The design parameters to be selected in the static analysis are typically length, weight, buoyancy requirements. The loads considered in the static analysis stage are generally gravity, buoyancy and current loads. [17]

The static analysis is based on the finite element method. The state of the discretized finite element model is completely determined by the nodal displacement vector. The purpose of the static analysis is to determine the nodal displacement vector so that the complete system is in static equilibrium before the onset of the dynamic load. The static equilibrium configuration is therefore found as the solution of the following system of equation[15]:

\[ R^l (r) = R^E (r) \] (4-9)

Where:

- \( r \) is nodal displacement vector including all the degrees of freedom for the system, i.e. displacements for a bar model and displacements and rotations for a beam model. Both displacements and rotations are relative to the stress-free reference
configuration.

\( R^I (r) \) is internal structural reaction force vector found by assembly of element contributions. Contact forces are also treated as internal reaction forces.

\( R^E (r) \) is external force vector assembled from all elements. Accounts for specified external forces, rigid body forces for representation of buoys, pump weights etc. and contribution from distributed loading, i.e. buoyancy and current forces.

Moreover, the equation of the finite element should be solved by iteration. The Newton-Raphson method is the most frequently used iterative method for solving non-linear structural problems.

In details, the Newton-Raphson method[16] is presented below:

The Newton-Raphson algorithm to solve \( x \) for the problem \( f(x) = 0 \) is

\[
x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)}
\]  

(4-10)

Where \( f'(x_n) \) is the derivative of \( f(x) \) with respect to \( x \), at \( x = x_n \).

In this study, \( K(r)dr = dR \), \( K \) is the incremental stiffness matrix, which represents the generalisation of the \( \partial f / \partial x \). \( r \) is the displacement vector and \( R \) is the load vector.

In Newton’s method for a single dof \( \frac{\partial r}{\partial f(r)} = -K_i^{-1}(r_n) \).

The equation: \( f(r) = R - R_{int} = 0 \) is solved by the iteration formula:

\[
r_{n+1} - r_n = e_{n+1} = K_i^{-1}(r_n)(R - R_{int})
\]  

(4-11)

### 4.3.2. Dynamic analysis

As the dynamic performance of the riser is non-linear, the results of the frequency domain analysis can not be accurate. Therefore time domain analysis is commonly used to analyze the performance of the riser. The starting point for dynamic simulation is the static equilibrium configuration. The dynamic analysis primarily represents the analysis of the riser response to the combined action of the wave and current. Then the dynamic simulation considers the RAO of the vessel over a
specified period of time. The RAO is used to represent the motion of the vessel, which acts as the loading at the top of the riser, and then to carry out the dynamic analysis. [17]

Then the method of analysis used in nonlinear dynamic analysis will be introduced. The presentation mainly follows the approach outlined by Remseth (1978) [20] The nonlinear incremental equation of motion is linearized by introducing the tangential mass-, damping- and stiffness matrices at the start of the increment. The linearized incremental equation of motion can be expressed as:

\[
M_t \Delta \ddot{r}_t + C_t \Delta \dot{r}_t + K_t \Delta r_t = \Delta R_t^E
\]  

(4-12)

Where \( M_t \), \( C_t \) and \( K_t \) denote the tangential mass-, damping- and stiffness matrices computed at time \( t \). \( \Delta r_t \), \( \Delta \dot{r}_t \), \( \Delta \ddot{r}_t \) and \( \Delta R_t^E \) are the incremental displacement, velocity, acceleration and external force vectors, respectively.

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta r_t &= r_{t+At} - r_t \\
\Delta \dot{r}_t &= \dot{r}_{t+At} - \dot{r}_t \\
\Delta \ddot{r}_t &= \ddot{r}_{t+At} - \ddot{r}_t \\
\Delta R_t^E &= R_{t+At}^E - R_t^E
\end{align*}
\]  

(4-13)

Due to nonlinearities, the dynamic equilibrium equation is not satisfied at the end of the time step. The residual force vector, due to change in mass, damping and stiffness over the time step is given by:

\[
\delta R_t = R_{t+At}^E - (R_t^I + R_t^D + R_t^S)
\]  

(4-14)

Where:

- \( R^I \) is inertia force vector;
- \( R^D \) is damping force vector;
- \( R^S \) is internal structural reaction force vector;
- \( R^E \) is external force vector.

To prevent error accumulation, the residual force vector is added to the incremental equilibrium equation at the next time step. Thus, the incremental equation of motion including equilibrium correction is written as:

\[
M_t \Delta \ddot{r}_t + C_t \Delta \dot{r}_t + K_t \Delta r_t = R_{t+At}^E - (R_t^I + R_t^D + R_t^S)
\]  

(4-15)

Then the incremental equation expressed by the incremental displacement vector over the time interval \([t, t + \Delta t]\), is written as:

\[
\hat{K}_t \Delta r_t = \Delta \hat{R}_t
\]  

(4-16)
Where $\hat{K}$ is the effective stiffness, $\Delta \hat{R}$ is the effective incremental load vector.

Finally, to obtain dynamic equilibrium at the end of the time step, an iterative approach similar to the static equilibrium iteration by the Newton-Raphson method is applied.

### 4.3.3. Fatigue analysis

Fatigue damage is caused by cyclic stresses. Due to cyclic stresses, the material slowly undergoes plastic deformation which first lead to cracks and can eventually lead to fatigue. It is important to ensure that the riser has adequate fatigue life is very significant. The steps for the fatigue calculation are presented in Figure 4-2.

![Fatigue analysis procedure](image)

**Figure 4-2.** The fatigue analysis procedure.

A general approach for calculation of wave and low frequency fatigue damage contributions is based on application of the following procedure:

The first step is to implement the environment (sea states) data. The wave environment scatter diagram is subdivided into a number of representative blocks. Within each block, a single sea-state is selected to represent all the sea-states within the block. The probabilities of occurrence for all sea-states within the block are lumped to the selected sea-state. The fatigue damage is computed for each selected short term sea-state for all the blocks [9].

The weighted fatigue damage accumulation from all sea states can be expressed as:

$$ D_L = \sum_{i=1}^{N_s} D_i P_i $$  \hspace{1cm} (4-17)

Where:
- $D_L$ is long-term fatigue damage;
- $N_s$ is number of discrete sea states in the wave scatter diagram;
- $P_i$ is sea state probability. Normally parameterised in terms of significant wave height and peak period, i.e. P (Hs, Tp));
- $D_i$ is short term fatigue damage.

The second step of fatigue analysis is to define the fatigue loading. This can obtained
from the results of the dynamic analysis of the different sea states. In this case, the fatigue stress is the resultant axial stress, which contains bending moment stress and axial tension stress. Then identifying the locations to be assessed, i.e. which locations have the highest axial stresses. Moreover, for the riser, after finding the hot spot location, 8 points on the cross section is considered.

After that, based on the dynamic analysis results (forces and moments) from SiMA, using rearranging the stress history into blocks, and getting the stress range histogram. Moreover, identifying the fatigue strength data, which is the S-N curves from DNV rules. From Section 3, it is known that the E curve has been selected.

Then considering the influence of a thickness correction factor to the nominal stress range:

\[
S = s_0 \times SCF \times \left( \frac{t_3}{t_{ref}} \right)^k \tag{4-18}
\]

Where:
- \(s_0\) is nominal stress range;
- SCF is stress concentration factor;
- \(\left( \frac{t_3}{t_{ref}} \right)^k\) is thickness correction factor.

The thickness correction factor applies for pipes with a wall thickness \(t_3\) greater than a reference wall thickness, \(t_{ref}=25\)mm. However, in this study, the thickness of the riser is 15mm. Therefore, there is no need to consider this correction.

Finally, the Miner-Palmgren rule is adopted for accumulation of fatigue damage from stress cycles with variable range:

\[
D = \sum_i \frac{n(S_i)}{N(S_i)} \tag{4-19}
\]

Where \(n(S_i)\) is the number of stress cycles with range \(S_i\), and \(N(S_i)\) is the number of stress cycles to failure.
5. Modelling by SIMA

The riser system is modeled in SIMA to evaluate its dynamic behaviour under the various sea states with regard to two working conditions and to figure out the limiting sea states. The two working conditions, one is the operating condition, riser is during the procedure of transporting the minerals from the seabed to the vessel, in this situation, the top of the riser is connected to the vessel by a pin joint. Another is the installing condition, under which condition, the top of riser is fixed to the vessel.

Based on the lumped mass method, the riser is modeled as a line, which is divided into a series of line segments. The line segments only model the axial and torsional properties of the line. The other properties (mass, weight, buoyancy, etc.) are all lumped to the nodes.[17] In this model the water depth is 3000m, and the riser length is 2970m. It means from the end of riser to the seabed is still 30 meters long. The end of the riser is connected with a pump. The pump is connected to the mining equipment on the seabed by another section of flexible jumper. However, in this case, the section below the pump is not considered. The model can be seen in Figure5-1.

![Figure 5-1. The riser model from SIMA.](image-url)
5.1. The environment condition

The environment defines the conditions which the riser lift model are operated in. In this study, the marine environment of the place along the North-East part of the Mid-Atlantic Ridge outside Svalbard will be simulated as real as possible.

The operating water depth is 3000m, while the influence by the change of tide has not been considered. The current velocity on the free surface was set to 0.5m/s. It has a linear inverse relationship with water depth. As the water depth increases, the current velocity decreases to zero, as shown in Figure 5-2.

![Current profile](image)

**Figure 5-2.** The relationship between the current velocity and the water depth.

Jonswap-3Parameter spectrum was selected. JONSWAP spectrum extends fully-developed sea to include fetch limited seas, describing developing sea states. It describes wind sea conditions that often occur for the most severe sea states[24].

The wave scatter diagram of the northern North Sea was chosen as the sea states that riser will be operated in. Because this scatter diagram is mature and detailed, it has many extreme sea states. Moreover, the wave scatter diagram of the project site which is along the North-East part of the Mid-Atlantic Ridge outside Svalbard will most likely be better than it of the northern North Sea. Therefore, if the riser can be operated under the northern North Sea condition, it can also be operated along the North-East part of the Mid-Atlantic Ridge outside Svalbard. The scatter diagram of the northern North Sea is shown in Figure 5-3.
Moreover, the most probably sea states in this scatter diagram were selected in the simulation. It can be found in Table 5-1.

Table 5-1. The various sea states for riser operating.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tp</th>
<th>Hs</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1061</td>
<td>1569</td>
<td>1634</td>
<td>1362</td>
<td>982</td>
<td>643</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1233</td>
<td>3223</td>
<td>5106</td>
<td>5814</td>
<td>5284</td>
<td>4102</td>
<td>2864</td>
<td>1821</td>
<td>1098</td>
<td>634</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>831</td>
<td>2295</td>
<td>3896</td>
<td>4707</td>
<td>4456</td>
<td>3531</td>
<td>2452</td>
<td>1543</td>
<td>901</td>
<td>497</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>481</td>
<td>1371</td>
<td>2406</td>
<td>2960</td>
<td>2796</td>
<td>2163</td>
<td>1437</td>
<td>849</td>
<td>458</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>898</td>
<td>1564</td>
<td>1879</td>
<td>1696</td>
<td>1228</td>
<td>748</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>571</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>1069</td>
<td>885</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>528</td>
<td>533</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
And the wave spectrum of one of the sea states (Hs is 4m and Tp is 8s) is presented in Figure 5-4.

5.2. Riser system

The definition terms of the simplified riser system are presented in the Figure 5-5.

Supernode: Branching points or nodes with specified boundary conditions
Line: Suspended structure between two supernodes
Segment: (Part of) line with uniform cross section properties and element length
5.2.1. Boundary condition

There are two working conditions of riser system, which are distinguished by the boundary condition at the top of riser.

In the operating condition, the top of the riser is connected to the vessel by the pin joint. Which means, the connection supernode has six degrees of freedom, all the three components of translation and the rotation of Z direction are fixed, where the rotation of X and Y direction are free. In details, the boundary condition frame is relative to the vessel and X, Y, Z-direction is under the global coordinate system.

In addition, in the installation condition, all six degrees of freedom of the connection are fixed. It means the top of the riser is both fixed in translation and rotation relative to the vessel.

However, the end of the riser is attached to a pump. When the end of riser was set free to move. The gravity of the pump minus the buoyancy of the pump gives additional tension in the riser.

5.2.2. Riser line types

Just like the structural analysis of a rigid body, the degree of density of the mesh can affect the accuracy of the results. How to divide the line segments and elements of the riser will also affect the accuracy of the results. The division method is shown in Figure5-6 , which means the riser is divided into 3 segments from top to the bottom. Considering that the large deformation of the riser under the Load of current and wave will be at the top of the riser. Therefore the segment 1, the first 30 meter of the riser is divided into 30 elements. Segment 2, is 40 meter long and divided into 16 elements, i.e. each element is 2.5 meters long. For segment 3, the remaining riser of 2900 meter is divided into 580 elements, i.e. each element is 5 meters long. Therefore, there are total 626 elements along the riser.
5.2.3. Components

From Figure 5-6, it is shown that there are two important components in the riser system. One is the nodal body which is connected to the end of the riser, called pump. Another is the internal fluid which flows from the end of the riser to the top vessel, called mineral.

**Pump**

The mass of the pump is 90t. The volume of the pump is 28.3 m^3, which is assumed as a cylinder. The diameter (D) is 6m, and the height(H) is 1m. The hydrodynamic force coefficient for pump is calculated under the global coordinate system by the following formulas:

The drag force coefficient of the pump in x-direction and y-direction:

\[
\frac{1}{2} \rho_u C_D DH = 3075Ns^2/m^2
\]  
\text{(5-1)}

The drag force coefficient of the pump in z-direction:

\[
\frac{1}{2} \rho_u \frac{\pi}{4} D^2 = 14490Ns^2/m^2
\]  
\text{(5-2)}

The added mass of the pump:

\[
\rho_u C_A V = 28981 \text{ kg}
\]  
\text{(5-3)}

The weight loading on the riser provided by the pump is 6*10^5 N, which was calculated by the weight of pump minus the buoyancy of the pump.
Mineral

The internal fluid that flows in from the bottom of the riser is the slurry flow, which is mixed by the rocks and water. Taking this into consideration, the density of the mineral was set to an upper band value of 2000 kg/m^3. Since this thesis analyzes the static and dynamic response of the riser during storage and transportation, it is assumed that the mineral is constantly present inside the riser. Therefore, the mineral velocity is zero during the analysis process. Which results in the inlet pressure and the pressure drop of the riser is all zero.

5.2.4. Cross section

The axisymmetric pipe was selected as the riser model, due to the outer layer of the riser needs to be wrapped with buoyant material. The importance of which has been discussed in the Section 2.1. It's worth noting that the local coordinate system (x,y,z) is applied when the related parameters of riser are calculated in this section. The local coordinate system of riser is shown in Figure 5-7.

![Figure 5-7. The local coordinate system(x,y,z) of riser.](image)

The outside steel pipe diameter was set to 0.3 m and the pipe thickness was set to 0.015 m. The pipe material density is 7850 kg/m^3. Considering the weight of the whole riser model and the pump weight, so the tension of the riser will be large. Therefore, a buoyancy layer was applied outside the steel riser. The density of the buoyancy layer is 600 kg/m^3. If the total buoyancy provided by the buoyancy layer can completely balance the gravity of the riser, it can be calculated by the buoyancy of the whole riser (contains the buoyancy layer) equaling to the sum of the weight of the steel riser, the weight of the internal mineral and the weight of the buoyancy layer.
layer. Moreover, it is easy to calculate in unit length situation. The follow formula can be used.

\[
g \left( \rho_r \frac{\pi}{4} (D_o^2 - D_i^2) + \rho_m \frac{\pi}{4} D_i^2 + \rho_b \frac{\pi}{4} (D_b^2 - D_o^2) \right) = \rho_w \frac{\pi}{4} D_b^2 g
\]  
(5-4)

Where:
- \(D_o\) is the riser overall diameter;
- \(D_i\) is the riser internal diameter;
- \(D_b\) is the diameter of the whole riser (contains the buoyancy layer);
- \(\rho_r\) is the density of riser material (steel);
- \(\rho_m\) is the mineral density;
- \(\rho_b\) is the buoyancy layer density;
- \(\rho_w\) is the density of the sea water, as 1025 kg/m^3
- \(g\) is the acceleration of the gravity.

Based on Eq.(5-4), \(D_b\) was calculated to be 0.728m. Then the thickness of the buoyancy layer would be as 0.214m. If this thickness of buoyancy layer is used, the riser weight is totally offset. However, like it has been discussed in the Section 2.1, increasing the thickness of the buoyancy layer will increase the bending moment stress at the top part. Therefore, in order to balance the effects of tension and bending moment, the thickness of buoyancy layer was selected to be 0.05 meter.

Therefore, the weight of the whole riser which contains the inner minerals and outside buoyancy layer is 3.6*10^6 N.

The cross section properties of the riser can be found in the Figure5-8. And its material properties can be seen in Table5-2.
The non-dimensional coefficients are selected as the hydrodynamic force coefficient for riser. Moreover, the coefficients are determined under the local coordinate system. So the coefficients of x direction (along the riser) is very small. The specific coefficients of the riser is presented in the Figure5-9.

![Figure 5-9. The hydrodynamic force coefficient for riser.](image)

### 5.3. Support vessels

In some actual operations, the support vessel for the deep sea mining is decided to be FPSO (floating production, storage, and offloading system). Here, the semi-submersible platform was selected to be the support vessel by SIMA package. Both of them, in which production and storage facilities are housed, are appropriate for developing large deposits in deep or ultra deep water. Moreover, they are designed with good stability and seakeeping characteristics. Due to these similarities and advantages, the semi-submersible platform can be the support vessel in this study. The local coordinate system of the semi-submersible platform can be found in Figure5-10.
The three degrees of vessel translation motion response amplitude operators (RAOs) are shown in Figure 5-11. And the other three degrees of vessel rotation motion response amplitude operators (RAOs) are shown in Figure 5-12. From this two figures, it can be found when all sea states was applied in the same direction that the main vessel motion will be heave, surge and pitch in this case.
5.4. Static and dynamic calculation parameter

5.4.1. The static calculation parameter

During the static analysis, the riser is loaded by the volume forces, the body forces and the current forces. The matrix storage is sparse, then the load and mass formulation is lumped. The other specific parameter settings is presented in Figure 5-13.

![Figure 5-12. The RAOs of vessel rotating motion.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Static Calculation in Simple_Flexible_Riser_irregular</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metric Storage: Sparse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load And Mass Formulation: Lumped load and mass formulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Profile Scaling: 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress Visualisation Response: Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use stress free configuration:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Load Type</th>
<th>Run With Previous</th>
<th>N Step</th>
<th>Max Iterations</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volume Forces</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.0e-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Forces</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.0e-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Forces</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.0e-06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 5-13. The specific static calculation parameter settings.](image)
5.4.2. The dynamic calculation parameter

During the dynamic analysis, besides the static loading, the riser is also loaded by the wave forces and the resulting vessel motion. The simulation length should be one hour, in case of the instability at the beginning of the operation, the simulation time is extended to 3800s, so the results of the first 200s can be deleted. The other specific parameter settings is presented in Figure 5-14.

![Figure 5-14. The specific dynamic calculation parameter settings.](image-url)
5.5. Input data

The total parameters of the riser lift system has shown before and the related parameters of analysis are arranged into the following Table 5-2.

Table 5-2. The total data used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water depth</td>
<td>3000m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total riser length (L)</td>
<td>2970m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riser overall diameter (D_o)</td>
<td>0.3m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riser thickness</td>
<td>0.015m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riser internal diameter (D_i)</td>
<td>0.27m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Cross-sectional area of riser (A)</td>
<td>0.0134 m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The density of riser material (ρ_r)</td>
<td>7850 kg/m³ (steel)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The buoyancy layer thickness</td>
<td>0.05m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral density (ρ_m)</td>
<td>2000 kg/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The buoyancy layer density (ρ_b)</td>
<td>600 kg/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole riser mass</td>
<td>751t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riser mass</td>
<td>313t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump mass</td>
<td>90 t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump volume</td>
<td>28.3 m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elasticity modulus (E)</td>
<td>2.06×10¹¹ N/m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shear modulus</td>
<td>8×10¹⁰ N/m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The total weight loaded on riser</td>
<td>4.2×10⁶ N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The weight of pump</td>
<td>6×10⁵ N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The weight of whole riser</td>
<td>3.6×10⁶ N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum specified yield strength (f_y)</td>
<td>386 MPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow stress parameter α_c</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety class resistance factor γ_sc</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material resistance factor γ_m</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design fatigue factors DFF</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-N curves</td>
<td>E curve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drag coefficient (C_D)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Added mass coefficient (C_A)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current velocity on the free surface</td>
<td>0.5 m/s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6. Pinned topside connection analysis results

The operation and installation condition is represented by the pinned topside connection and fixed topside connection, respectively. This section is listed the analysis results for the pinned topside connection. Which means the top of the riser is connected to the vessel by the pin joint. In details, all the three components of translation and the rotation of Z direction are fixed, the rotation of X and Y direction are free.

6.1. Quasi-static analysis

The quasi-static analysis determines the effect of the current only external loading on the riser system. The quasi-static analysis considers the only one current loading condition for the current velocity on the free surface is 0.5m/s. Before the dynamic analysis, the initial static geometry of the riser configuration can be determined by this analysis.

6.1.1. Effective tension

Based on the Section 5, it is known that the total weight loading on the whole riser system is 4.2*10^6 N, among this the weight provided by the pump is 6*10^5 N. From the Figure6-1, it can be found that the effective tension has a linear inverse relationship with the riser length. The effective tension at the top of riser is 4.214*10^6 N, which is experienced by the weight of the whole riser system, as well as the current loading. Moreover, the effective tension at the bottom of the riser is 6.014*10^6 N, which is experienced by the weight of the pump and the current loading.
Figure 6-1. The quasi-static effective tension of the riser.

6.2. Eigenfrequency

Based on Figure 6-2, it can be seen when the angular frequency is around 0.9 rad/s, the riser has the biggest response. How to explain this phenomenon, the eigenfrequency of the riser should be considered. The eigenfrequency of the first axial mode can be approximated by:

$$\omega_n = \sqrt{\frac{k}{m}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6-1)

Where:

- $k$ is the structure stiffness, $k = \frac{EA}{L} = 9.3 \times 10^5$ N/L,
- $m$ is the structure mass, where $m$ is estimated as the half of whole riser mass added the pump mass, which is $4.7 \times 10^5$ kg. At this time, this gives a $\omega_n$ value of 1.4 rad/s.

Then if the structure mass is estimated as the whole riser mass added the pump mass, which is $8.4 \times 10^5$ kg, $\omega_n$ will be around 1.1 rad/s. Therefore, the excitation frequency is always in the range of the axial eigenfrequency of the riser structure in this case.
6.3. Dynamic analysis

Based on the static analysis, the wave load and vessel motion are applied to the riser system. The dynamic response analysis of the riser system focus on four variables. These are the vertical displacement of the node along the riser, the axial tension, the bending moment of the upper part of the riser and the associated stress. Because there is selected 113 kinds of sea states in the whole analysis, as an example, considering the most probable sea state of Hs=2m, Tp=8s.

6.3.1. Vertical Displacement

Due to the heave motion of the vessel, it will lead to large vertical displacement of the node along the riser. From the Figure 6-3, it can be seen that the end node has the maximum vertical displacement. Therefore, in the next step, it just needs to be analyze the maximum vertical displacement of the end node. That maximum vertical displacement fluctuates around the initial static equilibrium position, which can be found in Figure 6-4. The absolute values of the maximum vertical displacement of the end node of the selected sea states are shown in Table 6-1.
Figure 6-3. The displacement standard deviation of the riser on $H_s = 2m$, $T_p = 8s$.

Figure 6-4. The displacement of the final node of the riser on $H_s = 2m$, $T_p = 8s$. 
Table 6-1. The absolute value of maximum vertical displacement (m) of the end node of selected sea states.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tp</th>
<th>Hs</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,005</td>
<td>0,021</td>
<td>0,055</td>
<td>0,113</td>
<td>0,179</td>
<td>0,239</td>
<td>0,292</td>
<td>0,345</td>
<td>0,385</td>
<td>0,410</td>
<td>0,418</td>
<td>0,421</td>
<td>0,440</td>
<td>0,510</td>
<td>0,469</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0,007</td>
<td>0,038</td>
<td>0,109</td>
<td>0,225</td>
<td>0,358</td>
<td>0,479</td>
<td>0,586</td>
<td>0,693</td>
<td>0,774</td>
<td>0,826</td>
<td>0,841</td>
<td>0,850</td>
<td>0,881</td>
<td>1,021</td>
<td>0,940</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0,011</td>
<td>0,049</td>
<td>0,146</td>
<td>0,336</td>
<td>0,538</td>
<td>0,719</td>
<td>0,881</td>
<td>1,041</td>
<td>1,166</td>
<td>1,246</td>
<td>1,267</td>
<td>1,284</td>
<td>1,322</td>
<td>1,532</td>
<td>1,412</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0,065</td>
<td>0,178</td>
<td>0,439</td>
<td>0,745</td>
<td>0,959</td>
<td>1,179</td>
<td>1,392</td>
<td>1,559</td>
<td>1,669</td>
<td>1,696</td>
<td>1,720</td>
<td>1,764</td>
<td>2,043</td>
<td>1,885</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0,223</td>
<td>0,533</td>
<td>0,958</td>
<td>1,281</td>
<td>1,493</td>
<td>1,746</td>
<td>1,954</td>
<td>2,096</td>
<td>2,125</td>
<td>2,160</td>
<td>2,121</td>
<td>2,556</td>
<td>2,359</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0,640</td>
<td>1,163</td>
<td>1,604</td>
<td>1,893</td>
<td>2,126</td>
<td>2,349</td>
<td>2,525</td>
<td>2,555</td>
<td>2,601</td>
<td>2,650</td>
<td>3,069</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1,359</td>
<td>1,922</td>
<td>2,296</td>
<td>2,589</td>
<td>2,766</td>
<td>2,956</td>
<td>2,986</td>
<td>3,043</td>
<td>3,094</td>
<td>3,582</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2,221</td>
<td>2,694</td>
<td>3,052</td>
<td>3,253</td>
<td>3,399</td>
<td>3,417</td>
<td>3,486</td>
<td>3,539</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3,082</td>
<td>3,510</td>
<td>3,752</td>
<td>3,906</td>
<td>3,847</td>
<td>3,928</td>
<td>3,985</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3,957</td>
<td>4,250</td>
<td>4,412</td>
<td>4,319</td>
<td>4,370</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.3.2. Axial tension

Comparing the quasi-static effective tension curve and the force envelope curve for Hs=10m, Tp=16s, it can be found that the tension along the riser is increasing. Moreover, the maximum axial tension along the riser is at the top of the riser. Therefore, the element 1 of the riser is chosen to analyze the maximum axial tension in the dynamic analysis.

![force_envelope_curve](image)

Figure 6-5. The force envelope curve of the riser on Hs=2m, Tp=8s.
The axial tension of element 1 fluctuates around the initial static equilibrium position, which is shown in Figure 6-6. The maximum axial tension of the riser under the selected sea states are listed in the Table 6-2. It is noticed that there is small axial resonance comparing with the quasi-static effective tension.

Table 6-2. The maximum axial tension (MN) of the element 1 of selected sea states.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hs</th>
<th>Tp</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.949</td>
<td>5.034</td>
<td>5.080</td>
<td>5.019</td>
<td>5.032</td>
<td>5.034</td>
<td>4.977</td>
<td>4.915</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>5.173</td>
<td>5.221</td>
<td>5.153</td>
<td>5.160</td>
<td>5.152</td>
<td>5.082</td>
<td>5.013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>5.366</td>
<td>5.290</td>
<td>5.288</td>
<td>5.271</td>
<td>5.187</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.3.3. Bending moment

The bending moment is discussed under the local riser coordinate system. Resulting from the pin joint applied at the top of the riser, the bending moment at the top and the bottom of the riser is zero. This is visualised in Figure 6-7 and 6-8. Figure 6-8 is a partial enlarged view of Figure 6-7.

![Figure 6-7](image1.png)
Figure 6-7. The total bending moment along the riser on Hs=2m, Tp=8s.

![Figure 6-8](image2.png)
Figure 6-8. The partial enlarged view of total bending moment along the riser on Hs=2m, Tp=8s.
From Figure 6-9 and Figure 6-10, it can be seen that the absolute value of the bending moment in y-direction is much larger than in z-direction. It can be known that the wave loading comes from the y-direction of the riser. The maximum bending moment discussed in this thesis is the maximum total bending moment which is combined by the maximum bending moment in y and z direction.

Figure 6-9. The y-direction bending moment along the riser on Hs=2m, Tp=8s.

Figure 6-10. The z-direction bending moment along the riser on Hs=2m, Tp=8s.
The elements where the maximum bending moments are located of selected sea states are listed in the Table 6-3. It can be found that the maximum bending moments along the riser are within the top ten meter. They occur at the top because they are related to local wave action. From Table 6-4, it can be seen that the value of maximum bending moment are extremely small. The reason for this phenomenon is that the large tension gives large stiffness that results in the small bending moment.

Table 6-3. The location of the total maximum bending moment along the riser of selected sea states.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tp</th>
<th>Hs</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6-4. The total maximum bending moment(Nm) of the riser of selected sea states.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tp</th>
<th>Hs</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>915</td>
<td>913</td>
<td>958</td>
<td>631</td>
<td>787</td>
<td>638</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>459</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>285</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2096</td>
<td>1717</td>
<td>1859</td>
<td>1083</td>
<td>1553</td>
<td>1123</td>
<td>662</td>
<td>487</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>788</td>
<td>714</td>
<td>591</td>
<td>487</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>342</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3593</td>
<td>2546</td>
<td>2463</td>
<td>1459</td>
<td>2528</td>
<td>1716</td>
<td>896</td>
<td>706</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>1182</td>
<td>993</td>
<td>796</td>
<td>622</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>402</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3790</td>
<td>3042</td>
<td>1708</td>
<td>3312</td>
<td>2395</td>
<td>1139</td>
<td>1002</td>
<td>913</td>
<td>1648</td>
<td>1291</td>
<td>1019</td>
<td>765</td>
<td>603</td>
<td>462</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4081</td>
<td>1946</td>
<td>3994</td>
<td>3295</td>
<td>1391</td>
<td>1304</td>
<td>1260</td>
<td>2184</td>
<td>1633</td>
<td>1255</td>
<td>913</td>
<td>699</td>
<td>523</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2421</td>
<td>4665</td>
<td>4385</td>
<td>1765</td>
<td>1594</td>
<td>1689</td>
<td>2789</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>1511</td>
<td>1064</td>
<td>795</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>5756</td>
<td>5637</td>
<td>2440</td>
<td>1840</td>
<td>2221</td>
<td>3477</td>
<td>2427</td>
<td>1782</td>
<td>1223</td>
<td>890</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>6995</td>
<td>3227</td>
<td>2077</td>
<td>2927</td>
<td>4209</td>
<td>2878</td>
<td>2070</td>
<td>1388</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>4108</td>
<td>2302</td>
<td>3715</td>
<td>4808</td>
<td>3368</td>
<td>2374</td>
<td>1558</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2515</td>
<td>4581</td>
<td>5411</td>
<td>3603</td>
<td>2697</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.3.4. Riser stress

In this case, the riser stress is the resultant stress, which is formed by the axial tension stress and the bending moment stress. It can be found from the following formula:

\[ \sigma_R = \sigma_T + \sigma_M \]  

\[ \sigma_R = \frac{T}{A} + \frac{M_y * r}{I} + \frac{M_z * r}{I} \]  

Where:
\( \sigma_R \) is the resultant stress;
\( \sigma_T \) is the axial tension stress;
\( \sigma_M \) is the bending moment stress;
\( T \) is the axial tension;
\( M_y \) is the bending moment in y direction;
\( M_z \) is the bending moment in z direction;
\( I \) is the moment of inertia,
\( r \) is the radius to point in cross-section (outer or inner radius).

The riser stress can be obtained by the post processer package of SIMA. Moreover, to find which point has the greatest stress along the outer wall of the riser, it takes eight equal diversion points along the outer wall of the riser. Which can be shown in Figure6-11.

![Figure 6-11. The schematic diagram of eight equal diversion points along the outer wall of the riser.](image)

Due to the in plane top loading of the riser applied in this study, the maximum stress of the riser is in the horizontal direction. In this pinned connection case, the point of
maximum stress along the outer wall of the riser is the point 3. The fluctuation of the resultant stress in the time domain of the point 3 can be seen in Figure 6-12. The maximum stress of the riser in various sea states are listed in Table 6-5.

![Figure 6-12. The resultant stress in the time domain of the point 3 on Hs=1m, Tp=5s.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tp</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hs 1</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>318</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hs 2</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hs 3</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hs 4</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>335</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hs 5</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>341</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hs 6</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>350</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hs 7</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>358</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hs 8</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>365</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hs 9</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>373</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hs 10</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>385</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.4. Fatigue analysis

The fatigue analysis is based on the method described in Section 4. The probability of the occurrence of the selected sea states is listed in Table 6-6.

Table 6-6. The probability of the occurrence of selected sea states.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hs</th>
<th>Tp</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.01071</td>
<td>0.01583</td>
<td>0.01649</td>
<td>0.01374</td>
<td>0.00991</td>
<td>0.00649</td>
<td>0.00399</td>
<td>0.00234</td>
<td>0.00133</td>
<td>0.00075</td>
<td>0.00041</td>
<td>0.00022</td>
<td>0.00012</td>
<td>0.00007</td>
<td>0.00004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.01244</td>
<td>0.03253</td>
<td>0.05153</td>
<td>0.05867</td>
<td>0.05332</td>
<td>0.04140</td>
<td>0.02890</td>
<td>0.01838</td>
<td>0.01108</td>
<td>0.00640</td>
<td>0.00358</td>
<td>0.00196</td>
<td>0.00106</td>
<td>0.00057</td>
<td>0.00030</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.00147</td>
<td>0.00839</td>
<td>0.02316</td>
<td>0.03932</td>
<td>0.04750</td>
<td>0.04497</td>
<td>0.03563</td>
<td>0.02474</td>
<td>0.01557</td>
<td>0.00909</td>
<td>0.00502</td>
<td>0.00265</td>
<td>0.00136</td>
<td>0.00068</td>
<td>0.00033</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.00086</td>
<td>0.00485</td>
<td>0.01384</td>
<td>0.02428</td>
<td>0.02987</td>
<td>0.02822</td>
<td>0.02183</td>
<td>0.01450</td>
<td>0.00857</td>
<td>0.00462</td>
<td>0.00233</td>
<td>0.00111</td>
<td>0.00050</td>
<td>0.00022</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.00058</td>
<td>0.00318</td>
<td>0.00906</td>
<td>0.01578</td>
<td>0.01896</td>
<td>0.01712</td>
<td>0.01239</td>
<td>0.00755</td>
<td>0.00402</td>
<td>0.00193</td>
<td>0.00085</td>
<td>0.00035</td>
<td>0.00013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.00039</td>
<td>0.00209</td>
<td>0.00576</td>
<td>0.00959</td>
<td>0.01079</td>
<td>0.00893</td>
<td>0.00580</td>
<td>0.00312</td>
<td>0.00143</td>
<td>0.00059</td>
<td>0.00021</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.00027</td>
<td>0.00137</td>
<td>0.00350</td>
<td>0.00533</td>
<td>0.00538</td>
<td>0.00391</td>
<td>0.00219</td>
<td>0.00099</td>
<td>0.00037</td>
<td>0.00012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.00020</td>
<td>0.00089</td>
<td>0.00199</td>
<td>0.00263</td>
<td>0.00228</td>
<td>0.00139</td>
<td>0.00065</td>
<td>0.00023</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.00015</td>
<td>0.00054</td>
<td>0.00102</td>
<td>0.00112</td>
<td>0.00079</td>
<td>0.00039</td>
<td>0.00014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.00011</td>
<td>0.00030</td>
<td>0.00045</td>
<td>0.00039</td>
<td>0.00022</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition, E curve were selected as the S-N curve by the DNV-OS-C203 (2011), which was shown in Section 3. The results of fatigue analysis is presented as the fatigue life of the riser system. The fatigue life of riser with pinned topside connection by different riser stress are shown in the Figure 6-13, 6-14 and 6-15.
Figure 6-13. The fatigue life of riser with pinned topside connection by resultant stress.

The maximum fatigue life of riser with pinned topside connection by resultant stress is 1/1.6 year. Then when including the safety fact DFF=10, the fatigue life is 1/16 year, which is around 3 weeks.

Figure 6-14. The fatigue life of riser with pinned topside connection by only axial tension stress.

If just the axial tension stress is considered in the fatigue analysis, the fatigue life is 1/13 year, around one month. This means that the tension dynamics is important.
Figure. The fatigue life of riser with pinned topside connection by only bending moment stress.

If only the bending moment stress is considered in the fatigue analysis, the fatigue life will be around 113 year.
7. Fixed topside connection analysis results

This section gives results for the fixed topside connection case, which means that the top of the riser is rotationally fixed to the vessel. This section has similar response as described in Section 6 with respect to effective tension, vertical displacement and axial tension. However, the top local bending behaviour will be different.

7.1. Quasi-static analysis

7.1.1. Effective tension

Figure 7-1. The quasi-static effective tension of the riser.
7.2. Dynamic analysis

7.2.1. Vertical Displacement

Figure 7-2. The displacement standard deviation of the riser on Hs=2m, Tp=8s.

Figure 7-3. The displacement of the final node of the riser on Hs=2m, Tp=8s.
Table 7-1. The absolute value of maximum vertical displacement (m) of the end node of selected sea states.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hs</th>
<th>Tp</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>0.179</td>
<td>0.239</td>
<td>0.292</td>
<td>0.345</td>
<td>0.385</td>
<td>0.410</td>
<td>0.418</td>
<td>0.421</td>
<td>0.440</td>
<td>0.510</td>
<td>0.469</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.110</td>
<td>0.225</td>
<td>0.358</td>
<td>0.479</td>
<td>0.586</td>
<td>0.693</td>
<td>0.774</td>
<td>0.826</td>
<td>0.841</td>
<td>0.850</td>
<td>0.881</td>
<td>1.021</td>
<td>0.940</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.146</td>
<td>0.336</td>
<td>0.538</td>
<td>0.719</td>
<td>0.881</td>
<td>1.041</td>
<td>1.167</td>
<td>1.246</td>
<td>1.267</td>
<td>1.284</td>
<td>1.322</td>
<td>1.532</td>
<td>1.413</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>0.179</td>
<td>0.439</td>
<td>0.745</td>
<td>0.959</td>
<td>1.178</td>
<td>1.392</td>
<td>1.560</td>
<td>1.670</td>
<td>1.695</td>
<td>1.721</td>
<td>1.764</td>
<td>2.043</td>
<td>1.885</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.223</td>
<td>0.534</td>
<td>0.959</td>
<td>1.280</td>
<td>1.492</td>
<td>1.745</td>
<td>1.955</td>
<td>2.097</td>
<td>2.124</td>
<td>2.161</td>
<td>2.123</td>
<td>2.555</td>
<td>2.360</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.640</td>
<td>1.164</td>
<td>1.604</td>
<td>1.892</td>
<td>2.125</td>
<td>2.351</td>
<td>2.265</td>
<td>2.554</td>
<td>2.604</td>
<td>2.649</td>
<td>3.068</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.360</td>
<td>1.921</td>
<td>2.294</td>
<td>2.587</td>
<td>2.769</td>
<td>2.958</td>
<td>2.984</td>
<td>3.046</td>
<td>3.093</td>
<td>3.581</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.954</td>
<td>4.254</td>
<td>4.417</td>
<td>4.316</td>
<td>4.375</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7.2.2. Axial tension

Figure 7-4. The force envelope curve of the riser on Hs=2m, Tp=8s.
Figure 7-5. The axial tension of element 1 of the riser on $H_s=2m$, $T_p=8s$.

Table 7-2. The maximum axial tension (MN) of the element 1 of selected sea states.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T_p$</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.950</td>
<td>5.034</td>
<td>5.081</td>
<td>5.020</td>
<td>5.032</td>
<td>5.035</td>
<td>4.977</td>
<td>4.916</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>5.174</td>
<td>5.222</td>
<td>5.154</td>
<td>5.162</td>
<td>5.153</td>
<td>5.082</td>
<td>5.013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>5.367</td>
<td>5.291</td>
<td>5.289</td>
<td>5.273</td>
<td>5.188</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7.2.3. Bending moment

The bending moment is discussed under the local riser coordinate system. Resulting from the top of the riser is fixed to the vessel, the maximum bending moment is located at the top of the riser, but the end of the riser is zero. The above information can be seen in Figure 7-6 and 7-7. Figure 7-7 is a partial enlarged view of Figure 7-6.

Figure 7-6. The total bending moment along the riser on Hs=2m, Tp=8s.

Figure 7-7. The partial enlarged view of total bending moment along the riser on Hs=2m, Tp=8s.
In this section the maximum bending moment is also the total bending moment. From the Figure 7-6 and 7-7, it can be known that the maximum bending moment is at the element 1 of the riser. Therefore, the maximum bending moment of riser of the selected sea states are listed in Table 7-3.

Table 7-3. The total maximum bending moment (KNm) of riser of selected sea states.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tp</th>
<th>Hs</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>134</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>139</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>145</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>150</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>203</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>169</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>223</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>166</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>246</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>241</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>268</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>352</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>292</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>378</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>313</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>413</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>344</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7.2.4. Riser stress

The riser stress is also represented by the resultant stress in the fixed connection case. Moreover, the point of maximum stress along the outer wall of the riser is point 7, which can be found in Figure 6-11. The fluctuation of the resultant stress in the time domain of the point 7 can be seen in Figure 7-8. The maximum stress of the riser in various sea states are listed in Table 7-4.
Figure 7-8. The resultant stress in the time domain of the point 3 on Hs=1m, Tp=5s.

Table 7-4. The maximum stress (Mpa) of the riser of selected sea states.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tp</th>
<th>Hs</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>481</td>
<td>495</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>538</td>
<td>551</td>
<td>556</td>
<td>558</td>
<td>561</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>551</td>
<td>556</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>469</td>
<td>477</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>603</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>647</td>
<td>657</td>
<td>662</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>676</td>
<td>678</td>
<td>649</td>
<td>657</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>573</td>
<td>629</td>
<td>678</td>
<td>705</td>
<td>745</td>
<td>761</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>793</td>
<td>795</td>
<td>752</td>
<td>761</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>539</td>
<td>613</td>
<td>705</td>
<td>755</td>
<td>792</td>
<td>847</td>
<td>869</td>
<td>881</td>
<td>902</td>
<td>915</td>
<td>917</td>
<td>860</td>
<td>868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>562</td>
<td>651</td>
<td>789</td>
<td>866</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>952</td>
<td>980</td>
<td>996</td>
<td>1025</td>
<td>1042</td>
<td>1043</td>
<td>971</td>
<td>978</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>693</td>
<td>875</td>
<td>982</td>
<td>1018</td>
<td>1068</td>
<td>1094</td>
<td>1116</td>
<td>1150</td>
<td>1171</td>
<td>1173</td>
<td>1083</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>957</td>
<td>1101</td>
<td>1151</td>
<td>1214</td>
<td>1219</td>
<td>1239</td>
<td>1277</td>
<td>1304</td>
<td>1305</td>
<td>1197</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1216</td>
<td>1287</td>
<td>1363</td>
<td>1368</td>
<td>1369</td>
<td>1406</td>
<td>1438</td>
<td>1439</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1422</td>
<td>1514</td>
<td>1522</td>
<td>1519</td>
<td>1538</td>
<td>1575</td>
<td>1576</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1665</td>
<td>1679</td>
<td>1674</td>
<td>1682</td>
<td>1715</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7.3. Fatigue analysis

The same procedure as described in the Section 6.4 was repeated, and the results are shown in Figure 7-9, 7-10 and 7-11.

The maximum fatigue life of riser with fixed topside connection by resultant stress is 1/3806 year. Then when including the safety factor DFF=10, the fatigue life is 1/38060 year, which is around 15 minutes.
If just the axial tension stress is considered in the fatigue analysis, the fatigue life is 1/13 year, around one month.

If only the bending moment stress is considered in the fatigue analysis, the fatigue life is 1/37970 year, around 15 minutes.
8. Comparison and analysis

The results for two topside connection cases have been presented in the Chapter 6 and Chapter 7. This chapter will compare the results, and the influence of the topside connection. Moreover, analyzing the impact of the significant height and peak period, and based on the DNV rules, obtaining the limiting sea states for operating the riser system.

8.1. Quasi-static analysis

Based on the Figure6-1 and Figure7-1, which is the effective tension of the riser after the quasi-static analysis, it can be found that there is no difference between the results of two topside connection cases. So it can be inferred that the topside connection of the riser has no effect on the static analysis.

8.2. Dynamic analysis

8.2.1. Vertical displacement

From Table6-1 and 7-1, the results of the vertical displacement of end of the riser under two topside connection are very similar. It can be found that the topside connection do not influence the vertical displacement of the riser. Taking the case of pinned topside connection as an example, the impact of the wave parameters can be seen in Figure8-1.

![Figure 8-1. The vertical displacement of end of the riser with pinned topside connection.](image-url)
From the Figure8-1, it can be found that the vertical displacement increases with the increasing of wave height. Moreover, as the wave period becomes larger, the vertical displacement increases until it stabilizes. This is according to the RAO gives for heave motion. The limiting sea states of operating the riser will be described in Section 8.2.5.

8.2.2. Axial force

As for the vertical displacement at the pump, the two kinds of topside connection cases have similar results with respect to axial tension at the top of riser. Therefore, there is no influence on the axial tension of the riser by the different topside connection. Also taking the case of pinned topside connection as an example, the impact of the wave parameters can be seen in Figure8-2.

![Figure 8-2. The axial tension of top of the riser with pinned topside connection.](image)

From the Figure8-2, it can be seen that the axial tension of the top of riser increases with increasing wave height. However, at first, the axial tension becomes larger as the wave period becomes larger, when the wave period reaches a certain value, the axial tension decreases in fluctuation as the period becomes larger. This is according to the combined action of the riser dynamics and the RAOS applied for this case.

8.2.3. Bending moment

The bending moment distribution of the riser for the two kinds of topside connection cases will be different as the bending moment of riser with fixed topside connection is much larger than it with pinned topside connection. The influence of the wave
parameters on the bending moment of riser with two kinds of topside connection is shown in Figure 8-3 and 8-4.

Figure 8-3. The maximum bending moment of riser with pinned topside connection.

Figure 8-4. The maximum bending moment of riser with fixed topside connection.

The bending moment of riser with the two kinds of topside connection is increasing as a result of growing wave height. However, the maximum bending moment does not show a regular change with the increase of the wave period, which is related to the combined action of vessel motion, riser dynamics and local wave loads at the top.
8.2.4. Riser stress

Due to the great difference in bending moments for the two topside connection cases, the maximum stress of riser with fixed topside connection is much larger than it with pinned topside connection. The impact of the wave parameters on the stress of riser with two kinds of topside connection can be shown in Figure 8-5 and 8-6.

![Stress of riser with pinned topside connection](image1)

Figure 8-5. The maximum stress of riser with pinned topside connection.

![Stress of riser with fixed topside connection](image2)

Figure 8-6. The maximum stress of riser with fixed topside connection.
The riser stress is increasing with growing wave height in both cases. For the fixed topside connection case, the stress is increasing as the wave period increased. However, for the pinned topside connection case, it is first rising then declining. This is in accordance with the previous observation that the pinned case dynamics is more governed by tension dynamics. Whereas for the fixed case, the maximum riser stress will be governed by the combined effects of quasi-static tension and pitch motion.

### 8.3. Limiting sea states

Based on the results of dynamic analysis, the limiting sea states for operating the riser system can be defined.

The sea states that produce the condition of the vertical displacement of the end of riser is larger than the 1/1000 of the riser length, which is 2.97m, can be considered as limiting sea states. By this criteria, in the selected wave scatter diagram, the limiting sea states is marked by yellow colour in the Table8-1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 8-1. The limiting sea states for operating the riser system</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Moreover, related to the DNV rules in the Section 3.1.3, riser system subjected to the bending moment and effective tension shall be designed to satisfy Eq.(3-1). Including the related parameters in Table5-2, the (plastic) bending moment resistance and the plastic axial force resistance is obtained by Eq.(3-2) and Eq.(3-3), which is shown as follows:

\[
M_k = 386 \times 1.2 \times (0.3 - 0.015)^2 \times 0.015 = 0.6\text{MNm}
\]

\[
T_k = 386 \times 1.2 \times \pi \times (0.3 - 0.015) \times 0.015 = 6.22\text{MN}
\]

(8-1)

Then the data of total bending moment and axial tension will be imported in the formula to check if the riser system can be operated in the selected sea states.
Table 8-2. Riser stress checking by DNV rules in the pinned topside connection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.605</td>
<td>0.607</td>
<td>0.610</td>
<td>0.615</td>
<td>0.620</td>
<td>0.623</td>
<td>0.626</td>
<td>0.627</td>
<td>0.628</td>
<td>0.626</td>
<td>0.626</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>0.623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.608</td>
<td>0.611</td>
<td>0.619</td>
<td>0.627</td>
<td>0.638</td>
<td>0.645</td>
<td>0.651</td>
<td>0.655</td>
<td>0.653</td>
<td>0.654</td>
<td>0.656</td>
<td>0.652</td>
<td>0.649</td>
<td>0.648</td>
<td>0.645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.612</td>
<td>0.614</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>0.640</td>
<td>0.656</td>
<td>0.668</td>
<td>0.677</td>
<td>0.684</td>
<td>0.681</td>
<td>0.684</td>
<td>0.687</td>
<td>0.680</td>
<td>0.675</td>
<td>0.672</td>
<td>0.668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.619</td>
<td>0.632</td>
<td>0.652</td>
<td>0.679</td>
<td>0.692</td>
<td>0.704</td>
<td>0.715</td>
<td>0.711</td>
<td>0.716</td>
<td>0.719</td>
<td>0.710</td>
<td>0.702</td>
<td>0.698</td>
<td>0.691</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.640</td>
<td>0.664</td>
<td>0.705</td>
<td>0.728</td>
<td>0.734</td>
<td>0.748</td>
<td>0.742</td>
<td>0.751</td>
<td>0.753</td>
<td>0.742</td>
<td>0.730</td>
<td>0.724</td>
<td>0.715</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.677</td>
<td>0.731</td>
<td>0.766</td>
<td>0.775</td>
<td>0.785</td>
<td>0.776</td>
<td>0.788</td>
<td>0.789</td>
<td>0.774</td>
<td>0.759</td>
<td>0.759</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.756</td>
<td>0.806</td>
<td>0.818</td>
<td>0.831</td>
<td>0.814</td>
<td>0.826</td>
<td>0.825</td>
<td>0.809</td>
<td>0.790</td>
<td>0.780</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.864</td>
<td>0.869</td>
<td>0.879</td>
<td>0.880</td>
<td>0.867</td>
<td>0.865</td>
<td>0.844</td>
<td>0.822</td>
<td>0.815</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.916</td>
<td>0.929</td>
<td>0.908</td>
<td>0.913</td>
<td>0.907</td>
<td>0.880</td>
<td>0.855</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.981</td>
<td>0.958</td>
<td>0.959</td>
<td>0.949</td>
<td>0.918</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8-3. Riser stress checking by DNV rules in the fixed topside connection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.896</td>
<td>0.899</td>
<td>0.915</td>
<td>0.912</td>
<td>0.938</td>
<td>0.939</td>
<td>0.943</td>
<td>0.950</td>
<td>0.945</td>
<td>0.956</td>
<td>0.955</td>
<td>0.952</td>
<td>0.950</td>
<td>0.950</td>
<td>0.947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.907</td>
<td>0.911</td>
<td>0.944</td>
<td>0.936</td>
<td>0.990</td>
<td>0.991</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.014</td>
<td>1.004</td>
<td>1.029</td>
<td>1.027</td>
<td>1.019</td>
<td>1.015</td>
<td>1.012</td>
<td>1.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.920</td>
<td>0.925</td>
<td>0.960</td>
<td>0.958</td>
<td>1.044</td>
<td>1.045</td>
<td>1.059</td>
<td>1.080</td>
<td>1.066</td>
<td>1.106</td>
<td>1.103</td>
<td>1.090</td>
<td>1.081</td>
<td>1.077</td>
<td>1.070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.940</td>
<td>0.976</td>
<td>0.982</td>
<td>1.100</td>
<td>1.102</td>
<td>1.120</td>
<td>1.148</td>
<td>1.130</td>
<td>1.188</td>
<td>1.183</td>
<td>1.164</td>
<td>1.151</td>
<td>1.145</td>
<td>1.134</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.009</td>
<td>1.156</td>
<td>1.213</td>
<td>1.190</td>
<td>1.219</td>
<td>1.197</td>
<td>1.275</td>
<td>1.266</td>
<td>1.242</td>
<td>1.224</td>
<td>1.215</td>
<td>1.201</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.034</td>
<td>1.210</td>
<td>1.338</td>
<td>1.310</td>
<td>1.305</td>
<td>1.266</td>
<td>1.366</td>
<td>1.353</td>
<td>1.323</td>
<td>1.300</td>
<td>1.288</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.269</td>
<td>1.472</td>
<td>1.443</td>
<td>1.438</td>
<td>1.345</td>
<td>1.460</td>
<td>1.442</td>
<td>1.406</td>
<td>1.377</td>
<td>1.362</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.599</td>
<td>1.586</td>
<td>1.579</td>
<td>1.488</td>
<td>1.567</td>
<td>1.535</td>
<td>1.491</td>
<td>1.456</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.733</td>
<td>1.726</td>
<td>1.644</td>
<td>1.735</td>
<td>1.631</td>
<td>1.576</td>
<td>1.536</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.878</td>
<td>1.806</td>
<td>1.910</td>
<td>1.763</td>
<td>1.663</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the Table 8-2 and 8-3, it can be seen that in the pinned topside connection case, the results of all sea states satisfies the DNV rules. But in the fixed topside connection case, the results of most of sea states do not satisfy the DNV rules. This means that riser load out can only be done the sea states in the range of Hs is 1m. Therefore, for the pinned topside connection case, the limiting sea states is selected by the vertical displacement of the end of riser, which is shown in Table 8-1. What is more, for the fixed topside connection case, the limiting sea states is selected by the DNV stress rules, which is shown in Table 8-3.
8.4. Fatigue analysis

The results of the riser fatigue life in different conditions are shown in Table 8-4.

Table 8-4. The riser fatigue life in different conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Resultant stress</th>
<th>Axial tension stress</th>
<th>Bending moment stress</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pinned topside connection</td>
<td>3 weeks</td>
<td>One month</td>
<td>113 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fixed topside connection</td>
<td>15 minutes</td>
<td>One month</td>
<td>15 minutes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the Table 8-4, it can be found that in the pinned topside connection case, the axial tension has a big impact on the fatigue life of the riser. However, in the fixed topside connection case, the bending moment has a big impact on the fatigue life of the riser.
9. Conclusion and further work

9.1. Conclusion

Consequently, the topside connection of the riser has a big impact on the bending moment of the riser. The bending moment of the riser with fixed topside connection is much larger than it with pinned topside connection. And therefore affect the stress and fatigue life of the riser. Moreover, in the dynamic analysis, all the response of riser is increasing as the growing of the wave significant height. However, there is a complex relationship between the wave peak period and the riser response. When the wave peak period is increasing, the changing of the riser response is the result of a combination of vessel motion, local wave loads and riser dynamics. Furthermore, the limiting sea states of the riser with pinned topside connection is when the wave significant height is larger than 7m. And to the fixed topside connection is when the wave significant height is larger than 2m. In addition, in this case, the fatigue life of the riser is too short whatever the topside connection is. Although the riser is installed segmentally and the time of installation of the riser with fixed topside connection is short, the fatigue life of the riser system is still relatively short. Not to mention during the normal operation of the riser with pinned topside connection, the fatigue life is still only around one month. In conclusion, it is difficult to apply a steel riser under these conditions, even if the vortex induced vibration is not considered in this study. The one method of increasing the fatigue life of riser is to decrease the axial tension by increasing the buoyancy layer outside the riser wall.

9.2. Further work

For improving the riser response and the fatigue life, these are some further work can be implemented. Firstly, optimizing the distribution of the steel riser thickness and its buoyancy. Then the inner mineral density can be lower than 2000 kg/m^3, which can be around 1300 kg/m^3. Moreover, the selected sea states can only be considered the summer condition, it will give better sea states. In addition to the improvement work, this study needed to consider more detailed requirements. For the riser modelling, because the riser is too long, taking into account the actual installation and operation process of the riser, it is needed to establish some booster stations along the riser. Then, there are more riser configurations needed to be applied in the fixed topside connection case. Moreover, during the fatigue analysis procedure, the effect of vortex induced vibration and the directionality should be considered. On account of the semi-submersible platform represents a lower bound vessel motion, FPSO or other mining support vessels can be as an alternate. Last but not the least, the flexible pipe technology can be applied in this study field.
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